




This image makes up a clickable navigation menu.

You can view a
PRINTER FRIENDLY
version of this
article here.

Susan Sontag's Readers Respond, Remember, Re-read :: GREG TAYLOR



14 February 2005

Editor:
Colin Burnett

Select a contributor

Melissa **Anderson**
Dudley **Andrew**
Jean **Bruce**
Marcie **Frank**
E. Ann **Kaplan**
John **Locke**
Adrian **Martin**
James **Naremore**
Carl **Rollyson**
Jonathan **Rosenbaum**
Robert **Sklar**
Greg **Taylor**
Jerry **White**

**Comments?
Questions?**
[Click here](#)
to go to our
Contact form.

Click to subscribe
to our mailing list.

Click here
to visit our archives.

[Click here](#) for A "Sontag
on Film" Bibliography
and Filmography

For me (as doubtless for many others) the quintessential Sontag line, and a sign of her lasting importance as a film critic, is the conclusion of 1964's "Against Interpretation," where she says, simply, "in place of a hermeneutics we need an erotics of art." How right she was: in fact we may need an erotics of art (and of film in particular) now more than ever. Like the other great film essayist of the period, Parker Tyler, Sontag possessed a profound sense of cinema's aesthetic nature, and artistic possibilities; if her early championing of a "new sensibility" of unified low/high pop culture may now make her seem like a postmodern prophet, we need to recall her insistence that she was not seeking a "renunciation of standards" so much as "a new, more open way of looking at the world and at things in the world, our world." This in the end was Sontag's mission of the 60s: a vanguard renewal of ways of seeing, and ways of feeling, among those who took culture seriously. And like Tyler, she was able to do her best work within a closing window of opportunity when journalistic essays were still allowed to be both rigorous and accessible, and film analysis had not yet been swept under the warm rug of academia. How much the better we are for it. Who would deny that her essays on Bresson, Godard, Bergman, and Resnais are among the most lucid to address these imposing figures? Or that her explorations of silence and style still offer us tantalizing—if largely unmapped—avenues for aesthetic exploration? It is a final testament to Sontag's importance that she didn't pretend to know all the answers. In truly respecting the complexities of works she faced, she knew it was hard enough to ask the right questions.

***Greg Taylor** is an Associate Professor of film in the Conservatory of Theatre Arts and Film and a member of the interdisciplinary cinema studies and dramatic writing faculties at Purchase College, State University of New York. He is the author of "Artists in the Audience: Cults, Camp, and American Film Criticism" (Princeton University Press, 1999).*

<http://articles.synoptique.ca/taylor/>

<<< :: [Previous entry](#)
[Susan Sontag's Readers Respond, Remember,](#)
[Re-read](#)

[Next entry :: >>>](#)
[Susan Sontag's Readers Respond, Remember,](#)
[Re-read](#)

[[Back to Top](#)]

ISSN 1715-7641

Copyright © 2004-2005 Synoptique and its respective authors. All rights reserved.
Reproductions of any portion of this website only with the
expressed permission of Synoptique and its respective authors.

* * *

[?](#)